

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA	ATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,833 04/12/20	001 James K. Walker	NAN-105XCI	5073	
23557 7590 08/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD	VARGOT, N	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOC	IATION			
PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1732	-	

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		h
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/833,833	WALKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply	LVIO OST TO SVDIDE AN	ONTHIO FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	June 2005.	
<u></u>	is action is non-final.	· ·
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 39-41</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 24-30 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blyler, Jr et al –018, either alone, or further in view of Blyler, Jr et al –808 for reasons of record.

2.Claims 2, 4-8 and 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blyler, Jr et al –018 in view of Koike et al –621, either alone, or further in view of Blyler, Jr et al –808 as set forth in paragraph 3 of the previous action.

3.Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that there is no teaching or suggestion in Blyler, Jr et al –018 to add the buffer prior to the diffusion. However, applicant is referred to column 3, lines 59-61, which clearly indicates that the buffer would be added during or subsequent to the drawing. If the former, then certainly the drawing occurs before the diffusion, and hence the buffer tube would be added prior to the diffusion. Basically, applicant believes that the invention is patentable because it is performed in a continuous manner, while that of the primary reference would constitute a batch method. Blyler, Jr et al –018 is disclosing an improvement over the prior art in teaching the batch method which requires monitoring the fiber as it is made. Indeed, as applicant points out at page 13 of the amendment, the disclosure of Blyler, Jr et al -018 at column 2, lines 25-33 indicates that it is well known in the art to perform the extrusion and

diffusion, apparently in a continuous manner, and then check the fiber for proper bandwidth. To avoid waste and the discarding of off-spec product, Blyler, Jr et al –018 is proposing to modify what is known in the art. However, that does not mean that what is known in the art is patentable, as applicant is apparently arguing. In essence, the instant invention is merely a combination of what is prior art to Blyler, Jr et al -018 taken in combination with the employment of a buffer tube. If the prior art known by Blyler, Jr et al –018 performed the method in a continuous manner, then certainly it would have been obvious to have done so. Obviousness only requires a reasonable expectation of success, and it is believed that such has already been shown.

4.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,833 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot July 28, 2005 M. Varyot Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

7/28/05